

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/509,160	ICHIKI ET AL.
	Examiner Timothy C. Vanoy	Art Unit 1793

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** pending

(1) Timothy C. Vanoy

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Mr. Lee Cheng, applicants' attorney

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 4 December 2007

**Time:** 1:35 pm

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*none*

Claims discussed:

*12, 16 and 18*

Prior art documents discussed:

*none*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Timothy C Vanoy

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner requested authorization to correct the grammatical informalities the temperature ranges in claims 12, 16 and 18 by properly inserting oC via an Examiner's Amendment. Attorney granted the examiner authorization to make these amendments via an Examiner's Amendment..